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Preface

Current interest in "emotional intelligence" has raised the question of whether it is possible to improve the social and emotional competence of adult workers. Research in training and development, sports psychology, and behavior change suggests that it is possible, but the typical approach used in corporate training programs usually is flawed. Social and emotional learning is different from cognitive and technical learning, and it requires a different approach to training and development.

This report presents 22 guidelines for developing emotional intelligence in organizations, based on the best knowledge available on how to promote social and emotional learning. We have estimated that American business each year loses between 5.6 and 16.8 billion dollars by not consistently following these guidelines. The basis for this estimate can be found in the last section of the report.

Research for this report was conducted under the auspices of the Consortium for Research on Emotional Intelligence in Organizations. The Consortium is made up of nine individuals from academia, government, and the corporate sector. All of the individuals are recognized experts with considerable experience in both research and consulting. The Consortium has been funded by the Fetzer Institute, a private foundation located in Kalamazoo, Michigan. More information about the Consortium is available on the world wide web at: www.EIConsortium.org.
Bringing Emotional Intelligence to the Workplace

When the book, *Emotional Intelligence*, appeared in 1995, many business leaders agreed with the basic message that success is strongly influenced by personal qualities such as perseverance, self-control, and skill in getting along with others. They could point to "super sales persons" who had an uncanny ability to sense what was most important to the customers and to develop a trusting relationship with them. They could point to customer service employees who excelled when it came to helping angry customers to calm down and be more reasonable about their problems with the product or service. And they also could point to brilliant executives who did everything well except get along with people, or to managers who were technically brilliant but could not handle stress, and whose careers stalled because of these deficiencies. Business leaders well understood how valuable these "emotionally intelligent" employees are to an enterprise.

But what about the many workers who lack these important emotional competencies? Is it possible for adults to become more socially and emotionally competent? Many business leaders are less certain about this question. For instance, the dean of a major business school, when asked about the importance of emotional intelligence at work, enthusiastically agreed that it was crucial. But when we asked him how his school attempted to improve the emotional intelligence of MBA students, he said, "We don’t do anything. I don’t think that our students’ emotional intelligence can be improved by the time they come here. They’re already adults, and these qualities are developed early in life."
On the other hand, there are those who seem to claim that they can raise the emotional intelligence of a whole group of employees in a day or less. Scores of consultants now are selling workshops and seminars designed to help people become more emotionally competent and socially skilled. Some of these programs are quite good, but others make unrealistic claims. The worst ones are those that involve a heavy reliance on inspirational lectures or intense, short-lived experiences – and little else.

So who is right – the skeptics who believe that nothing can be done to improve emotional competence after the age of 15, or the hucksters who claim that they can turn emotional dunces into emotional Einsteins in an afternoon? As usual, the answer lies somewhere in between.

A growing body of research on emotional learning and behavior change suggests that it is possible to help people of any age to become more emotionally intelligent at work. However, many programs designed to do so fail to recognize the difference between two types of learning.

**Two Types of Learning**

Training and development efforts in industry have not always distinguished between cognitive learning and emotional learning, but such a distinction is important for effective practice. For instance, consider the example of the engineer whose career was stymied because he was shy, introverted, and totally absorbed in the technical aspects of his job. Through cognitive learning, he might come to understand that it would be better for him to consult other people more, make connections, and build relationships. But just knowing he should do these things would not enable him to do them. The ability to do
these things depends on emotional competence, which requires emotional learning as well as cognitive learning.

Emotional incompetence often results from habits deeply learned early in life. These automatic habits are set in place as a normal part of living, as experience shapes the brain. As people acquire their habitual repertoire of thought, feeling, and action, the neural connections that support these are strengthened, becoming dominant pathways for nerve impulses. Connections that are unused become weakened, while those that people use over and over grow increasingly strong. When these habits have been so heavily learned, the underlying neural circuitry becomes the brain’s default option at any moment – what a person does automatically and spontaneously, often with little awareness of choosing to do so. Thus, for the shy engineer, diffidence is a habit that must be overcome and replaced with a new habit, self-confidence.

Emotional capacities like empathy or flexibility differ from cognitive abilities because they draw on different brain areas. Purely cognitive abilities are based in the neocortex. But with social and emotional competencies, additional brain areas are involved, mainly the circuitry that runs from the emotional centers – particularly the amygdala – deep in the center of the brain up to the prefrontal lobes, the brain’s executive center. Effective learning for emotional competence has to re-tune these circuits.

Cognitive learning involves fitting new data and insights into existing frameworks of association and understanding, extending and enriching the corresponding neural circuitry. But emotional learning involves that and more – it requires that we also engage the neural circuitry where our social and emotional habit repertoire is stored. Changing habits such as learning to approach people positively instead of avoiding them, to listen
better, or to give feedback skillfully, is a more challenging task than simply adding new information to old.

Motivational factors also make social and emotional learning more difficult and complex than purely cognitive learning. Emotional learning often involves ways of thinking and acting that are more central to a person’s identity. A person who is told, for instance, that he should learn a new word processing program usually will become less upset and defensive than if he is told that he should learn how to better control his temper or become a better listener. The prospect of needing to develop greater emotional competence is a bitter pill for many of us to swallow. It thus is much more likely to generate resistance to change.

What this means for social and emotional learning is that one must first unlearn old habits and then develop new ones. For the learner, this usually means a long and sometimes difficult process involving much practice. One-day seminars just won’t do it.5

Those who study training "have tended to consider all training the same, without regard to the purpose of the training or the type of learning involved."6 Some of the previous thinking about training, based largely on cognitive learning, is valid for social and emotional learning as well. However, the principles for social and emotional learning differ greatly from those that apply to purely cognitive abilities. A better source of guidance comes from research that examines social and emotional change processes more directly. Such research comes from many different fields, including sports psychology, psychotherapy and behavior change, and personal development. This research suggests a set of guidelines for the design of effective social and emotional learning.7 These guidelines point to components that are additive and synergistic; to be effective, social
and emotional learning experiences need not adhere to all of these guidelines, but the chances for success increase with each one that is followed.

Guidelines for Effective Social and Emotional Learning: An Overview

The guidelines for social and emotional training are presented schematically in Figure 1. They are arranged in the form of a flow chart that describes the optimal process for helping individuals to increase their emotional competence in personal and interpersonal contexts.

FIGURE 1 The Optimal Process for Developing Emotional Intelligence in Organizations
The flow chart suggests that there are four basic phases to the training process. The first occurs even before the individual begins formal training. This initial phase, which is crucial for effective social and emotional learning, involves preparation for change. This preparation occurs at both the organizational and individual levels. The second phase, training, covers the change process itself. It includes the processes that help people change the way in which they view the world and deal with its social and emotional demands. The third phase, transfer and maintenance, addresses what happens following the formal training experience. The final phase involves evaluation. Given the current state of knowledge about social and emotional learning, the complexity of programs designed to promote such learning and the great unevenness in the effectiveness of existing programs, evaluation always should be part of the process.

Phase One: Preparation for Change

Motivation is especially important in social and emotional learning. Such learning can be challenging for adults who already have established a way of relating to themselves and others, and people need to be strongly committed to the change process for an extended period of time. So what can managers and trainers do to increase learners’ motivation prior to the start of the change process? The first set of guidelines addresses this question.

1. **Assess the Organization’s Needs.** Good training begins with a needs assessment. For social and emotional training, there are two particular challenges that must be addressed at this point in the process. First, many people in the organization will be skeptical about the link between emotional intelligence and the bottom line. A systematic and rigorous study can help show that such a link exists. For instance, in one
large financial services company, there was considerable skepticism about the value of training in "emotional competence" until top executives saw the results of a study showing that financial advisors who coped better with the emotional aspects of work with clients sold more life insurance policies. Once they saw the connection between this particular type of emotional competence and the bottom line, the executives encouraged advisors to participate in an emotional competence training program.

The second challenge in applying this guideline to social and emotional training efforts is to identify all of the particular competencies that are important for success. Sometimes it is easy to miss crucial ones. For instance, an initial needs assessment in one airline began with a consideration of the airline’s business strategy. Because airlines are similar in price structure, a competitive advantage depends particularly on how well passengers are treated by airline personnel. As a result, the way in which flight attendants handled passengers became the focus for training efforts. Research then indicated that superior performers had two types of competencies: self-management (resilience, efficiency, adaptability) and interpersonal (caring for and managing customers well, and teamwork). However, two other competencies, self-awareness and empathy, help support the self-management and interpersonal competencies. So the training program also needed to include these. Only a careful assessment of the work situation, informed by an understanding of the nature of emotional competence, enabled the consultant to identify both the surface-level and deeper competencies that affected performance.

2. Assess Personal Strengths and Limits. Two challenges confront those who wish to assess the social and emotional competence of individuals. First, people usually are less aware of skill weaknesses in the social and emotional domains. They may realize,
for example, that the interpersonal aspects of leading a work group are difficult and frustrating. But they may not be able to pinpoint the emotional skills they need in order to improve their functioning in this area. They are probably even less aware of the underlying attitudes and ways of thinking that get them into trouble, or how those ways of thinking trigger complex emotional response patterns that impede their effectiveness in dealing with difficult employees, customers, or coworkers.

Second, these competencies are manifested primarily in social interaction. Therefore, the best approach usually involves ratings by those who interact with the person. However, the beliefs, motives, and feelings of the rater influence ratings of social and emotional competence. The boss’s view of a manager’s self-awareness or ability to empathize may be very different from the perspective of the manager’s peers and subordinates. The best assessment approach for initiating social and emotional learning thus is usually based on multiple ratings conducted from multiple perspectives, such as 360-degree assessments that include boss, peer, and subordinate ratings.11

"Three-sixty feedback" now is used regularly in industry for a variety of purposes, and organizations vary in how well they use this tool. When not managed well, it can create resistance rather than readiness. In the most effective development programs, the participants are helped to review these ratings and then use them to identify the competencies that should be the focus of training efforts. Ultimately, however, the motivating power of an assessment is affected by how credible it is to the learners. The trainees need to have faith in the assessment method.12

3. Provide Feedback with Care. Motivation for change can be enhanced when people are given feedback on the assessment results.13 However, there are many pitfalls
in giving people feedback on their social competence. These competencies are closely linked to a person’s identity and self-esteem. It is one thing, for example, to be told that you need to work on the back-swing of your chip shot in golf, but it is quite another to be told that you need to handle stress better. If the feedback is not provided with sensitivity and skill, people often become defensive.

People are more likely to respond positively to feedback when they trust and respect the person who gives it. People also are more likely to be motivated to change when they believe that the feedback is constructive and accurate, and they are helped to identify the specific steps they can take to improve. People also need sufficient time to think about the information and its implications. And in social and emotional development efforts, it is especially important that the feedback occur in an atmosphere of safety.

The understood purpose of the feedback also affects its motivational and emotional impact. When, for instance, it is used for appraisal purposes, and one’s supervisor gives the feedback, the impact often is negative. On the other hand, when it is used for development purposes and the person giving the feedback is viewed as a disinterested individual whose motivation is to help, then the consequences tend to be much more positive. For instance, in a large computer software company, an executive development specialist provides the individual with the results of a 360-degree assessment strictly in confidence, one-to-one. No copies of the results are kept. The feedback is used only as a development tool.

4. **Maximize Learner Choice.** People generally are more motivated to change when they freely choose to do so. In social and emotional training, however, choice is
particularly important. Because these competencies are so close to the essence of what makes us the people we are, it is better if we are free to choose whether or not to engage in such training. It also is better if the choice is real. If trainees are given a choice but not assigned to the training they initially chose, they will be less motivated to learn than those who were given no choice.  

5. Encourage Participation. Because social and emotional learning is viewed as "soft" and thus somewhat suspect, employees will tend not to choose to participate in it unless they believe that the organization’s management strongly endorses it. The words and actions of supervisors are especially important. Trainees are more willing to participate in development activity if their supervisors indicate that they support it. In a large financial services company, a training program in emotional competence was popular in part because several regional vice presidents encouraged their management groups to participate and then attended the program with them.

The same has been true for "crew resource management training," a program that teaches airline crews the social and emotional skills that help them to work better as a team. When senior management has demonstrated a real commitment to this program by providing intensive and recurrent training, there has been greater acceptance of it among the crews. Acceptance also has increased when check airmen and instructors emphasize concepts from the training during other training and checking.

6. Link Learning Goals to Personal Values. People will be most motivated to learn and change if they believe that doing so will help them achieve goals that they value. For instance, in teaching airline crews how to work better in the cockpit as a team, it usually is more effective to teach them "how to get a team off to a good start,"
and "how to address conflicts among members constructively," rather than to teach them about "behavioral styles."²¹

Often the most salient personal values will be work-related, but they need not be. Trying to motivate learners by showing them that training will contribute to career success will be difficult if success is unimportant to them.²² Fortunately, other incentives for social and emotional learning are not difficult to find. In one popular emotional competence program, many participants reported that the skills they learned were as valuable in managing relationships at home as they were at work.

7. Adjust Expectations. Expectations about performance can become self-fulfilling prophecies. People who are confident that they can succeed in a training program will tend to be more motivated and, not surprisingly, more successful.²³ Unfortunately, in the case of social and emotional learning, many people are skeptical that emotional intelligence can be improved. And people who find social and emotional problems challenging will be particularly dubious about their ability to improve. To maximize motivation, learners need to believe not only that greater emotional competence will lead to valued outcomes, but also that it can be improved. Furthermore, they need to have a realistic expectation of what the training process will involve.²⁴

Trainers can help enhance learners’ self-efficacy in various ways. For instance, in the JOBS program, an award-winning program designed to help unemployed workers to overcome discouragement and find new jobs, the participants observe the trainers engage in a role-play of a job interview. The trainers intentionally make several mistakes during the role-play. The participants then provide suggestions for improving performance, and the trainers do the role-play again, incorporating the participants’ suggestions. The
participants see how useful the suggestions are, and the trainers point out that the
participants have demonstrated that they already know most of what the experts know
about how to do a job interview well.\textsuperscript{25}

8. \textbf{Gauge Readiness}. Research on a wide variety of behavior change programs
suggests that people go through several stages of readiness for change before they are
ready to make a true commitment.\textsuperscript{26} In the first stage, they deny that they have any need
for change. In the next stage, people begin to see that they need to improve, but they are
not sure that anything can be done about their problems and they put off making a
decision. In the third stage, the individual recognizes that there is a problem and also that
there are ways of dealing with it, but the person has not made a concrete plan to act. It is
not until the fourth stage that the person is ready to act. People at this stage have a
concrete plan, and they put it into action. Before training begins (or toward the
beginning), the training staff should, ideally, assess the readiness stage of each potential
participant. They then would design an appropriate intervention based on that assessment,
which will differ for people at each stage of readiness.

\textbf{Phase Two: Training}

In social and emotional learning, motivation continues to be an important issue
during the training phase. The amount of time, effort, and potential threats to one’s self-
esteem that occur during social and emotional learning suggest that trainers continue to
monitor the individual’s motivation and intervene to bolster it. One of the most important
factors influencing motivation during the training phase is the relationship between the
trainer and the learner.
9. **Foster a Positive Relationship Between the Trainer and Learner.** In social and emotional learning, the relationship between the trainer and learner is critically important. For instance, in a program designed to teach people to be more assertive, the participants were less likely to drop out and showed more positive change at the end of the program if they had a positive relationship with the trainer.

Several studies have suggested that trainers who are empathic, warm, and genuine – which are, of course, attributes of emotional intelligence – develop more positive relationships with participants in behavior change programs, and they are more likely to be successful. Trainers who use a directive-confrontational style only succeed in making participants more resistant.

In the JOBS program, the trainers work to develop a trusting relationship with the participants by engaging in a moderate degree of self-disclosure. For instance, the trainers talk about their own experiences in coping with job loss, emphasizing the normal experiences of self-doubt, encounters with barriers and setbacks, persistence in the face of these barriers, and ultimate success. This self-disclosure encourages the participants to identify with and admire the trainers, which facilitates social and emotional learning.

10. **Maximize Self-Directed Change.** People are more likely to develop emotional competence when they decide which competencies to work on and set their own goals. Training for emotional competence also benefits when the trainer adapts the training to match the person’s needs, goals, and learning style preferences. For instance, in one stress management program, the participants were taught a variety of approaches to relaxation. Then they were encouraged to try each one and select the best one for them. And if none worked well, they were encouraged to try other approaches to managing...
stress, such as improving their time management skills. The basic message of the program was that people differ, and no one approach to managing stress will work well for everyone.

11. Set clear goals. Social and emotional learning benefits from specific, clear goals. A goal such as "learn how to listen better to subordinates" is less effective than "use active listening with at least three times each day for three weeks." Specific and challenging goals help support social and emotional learning because they maximize self-efficacy, mastery, and motivation. The most effective trainers are able to help the learners set clear and challenging goals without infringing on the learners’ sense of ownership for the goals.33

12. Break Goals into Manageable Steps. For many people, trying to bring about even modest improvements in emotional competence can be frustrating. Although challenging goals are more motivating than simple ones, it also helps if the goals are attainable. When people reach a goal, their self-efficacy increases, which leads to the setting of new, more challenging goals.34 For instance, one MBA student lacked the self-confidence necessary to approach people about part-time jobs. The larger goal of developing self-confidence was overwhelming (and also vague), but he was helped to break it into smaller, more realistic action steps. The first was to update his resume, which was easy; there was no need to approach anyone. The next steps, which were increasingly difficult, were to call the chairman of the Finance Department by the next month to request a meeting, then meet with the chairman to discuss opportunities, then do the same with his mentor, a local executive. Finally, he would search the local want ads and call to apply for promising jobs. In this way, the goal of increasing self-confidence
became attainable, and steady progress and success rather than frustration and failure characterized the process.

13. **Maximize Opportunities to Practice.** The relationship between practice and learning is one of the oldest and best-established principles in psychology. In social and emotional learning, there often must be more practice than in other types of learning because old, ineffective neural connections need to be weakened and new, more effective ones established. Such a process requires repetition over a prolonged period of time. And learners need to practice on the job, not just in the training situation, for transfer to occur.

Relying on a single seminar or workshop is one of the most common errors made in social and emotional learning programs. Even an intense workshop lasting several days usually is not sufficient to help people unlearn old, entrenched habits and develop new ones that will persist. The most effective training programs include repeated sessions of practice and feedback.35

14. **Provide Frequent Feedback on Practice.** Feedback is important during the change process as a way of indicating whether the learner is on track. It also can help sustain motivation, for feedback can be highly reinforcing.36 Feedback is especially useful in social and emotional learning because the learners often have trouble recognizing how their social and emotional behavior manifests itself. In fact, because self-awareness is a core competence, those who need the most help in emotional competence programs may be particularly weak in this area. Thus, they need even more focused and sustained feedback as they practice new behaviors.37

15. **Rely on Experiential Methods.** More active, concrete, experiential methods, such as role plays, group discussions, and simulations, usually work better than lecturing
or assigned reading for social and emotional learning. In order to reprogram neural

circuits connecting the amygdala and neocortex, people need to actually engage in the
desired pattern of thought, feeling, and action. A lecture is fine for increasing
understanding of emotional intelligence, but experiential methods usually are necessary
for real behavior change.

A study of managerial and sales training programs offered in a large corporation
demonstrated the superiority of experiential methods for social and emotional learning.
The programs that used experiential methods produced twice as much improvement in
performance, as rated by supervisors and peers, as did the other programs. Furthermore,
the return on investment for the experiential programs was seven times greater.38

A particularly good example of experiential learning is the teaching approach
used in Cockpit Resource Management. Much of the training involves "flying missions"
in a highly realistic flight simulator. To learn more about how they interact with one
another and the effects it has on their performance, the crew is videotaped while they
perform the mission, and then they view the tape with an instructor and focus on their
interactions.39

16. Build in Support. Change is enhanced through ongoing support from
individuals and small groups. Such support is especially valuable for people who are
trying to improve their social and emotional competence. Coaches and mentors, as well
as individuals who are going through the same change process, can help sustain a
person’s hope and motivation.40 Social and emotional training programs usually are more
effective when they encourage the formation of groups where people give each other
support throughout the change effort.41
In a stress management program designed for a group of middle managers in a high tech firm, the trainers assigned the participants to a "support group" early in the first session. All small group work during the course of the program took place in these support groups, and facilitators included activities that helped the group members get to know one another better. The participants were encouraged to meet with others in their support groups in between sessions and after the program formally ended. The participants reported that the conversations they had in their support groups about lifestyle and priorities were the most important factors in helping them to make positive changes in their work and personal lives.

17. **Use Models.** Seeing the desired behavior modeled is particularly valuable in social and emotional learning. One cannot learn to solve quadratic equations by watching someone else do so, but one can learn a great deal about how to discuss a conflict with a coworker by observing a model do it. Learning is further enriched when trainers encourage and help learners to study, analyze, and emulate the models.42

18. **Enhance Insight.** Even though experiential interventions seem to be especially productive for social and emotional learning, insight also can play a useful role.43 Insight serves as a natural link between situations, thoughts and feelings. It enhances self-awareness, the cornerstone of emotional intelligence. And insight often paves the way for meaningful behavior change.44

The most effective training combines experiential methods and the development of insight. For instance, one program taught managers to be more aware of how their employees irritated them and to become more effective in setting limits with employees. The trainer began the lesson by showing an excerpt from a popular comedy film in which
one character continually annoyed another one by infringing on his personal space in various ways. After showing the film, the trainer helped the participants to shift the focus from the film to themselves, and they began to see how they often allowed some of their employees to bother them in similarly annoying ways. After acquiring more insight into their own emotional reactions, the participants were ready to learn some emotional and social skills that could help them to deal with these annoying behaviors.

19. Prevent Relapse. The essence of relapse prevention is to prepare people mentally to encounter slips, to recognize at the outset that setbacks are a normal part of the change process. Relapse prevention is especially important in social and emotional learning because participants attempting to develop these competencies are likely to encounter many setbacks as they attempt to apply new behaviors on the job. Without preparation for these setbacks, they can easily become discouraged and give up before the task of neural relearning has reached the point where the new, learned response is the automatic one.

In relapse prevention training, people are helped to reframe slips as opportunities to learn in order to reduce the likelihood of slipping again in the future. For dealing with situations in which a mistake is likely, they also are helped to develop practical strategies such as taking a "time out" to consult with a mentor.45 Through relapse prevention, trainees learn how to identify and overcome potential obstacles to applying new skills on the job. They also learn to monitor their progress and use methods of self-reinforcement to maintain motivation.46

For example, in one program a trainer leads the participants through a discussion about a hypothetical situation in which a participant who has followed all the rules for
effective, supportive feedback receives an angry response when talking with a staff member. The trainer then asks the group to describe how they would feel in this situation and to consider what they could do to overcome this particular obstacle. The trainer then praises the participants for their ideas on how to bounce back from this setback. Later, the trainer asks the participants to write down on one side of a sheet of paper descriptions of setbacks they might encounter when they try to apply a skill they have learned, and to generate possible solutions for overcoming these setbacks on the other side of the paper. The participants then share these strategies with the rest of the group.47

**Phase Three: Transfer and Maintenance**

Transfer and maintenance of learned skills is a particular challenge in social and emotional learning. When learners return to their natural environments, there are likely to be many cues and reinforcers that support the old neural pathways that training was designed to weaken. Further, there may be significant barriers to the use of some of the new social and emotional competencies that still have a fragile neural foundation.48

Well-designed training programs cannot be effective if the larger organizational system in which they are rooted is not supportive of the training goals. Recent research has pointed to several aspects of the organizational environment that seem to be helpful in facilitating transfer of social and emotional learning.

20. **Encourage Use of Skills on the Job.** There are many different ways that supervisors, peers, subordinates, and others in the work environment can encourage learners to apply what they have learned. The best methods involve either reminding people to use the skills or reinforcing them when they do so.49
Reinforcement is a particularly good way to encourage trainees to apply their new skills on the job and to continue doing so. In the workplace, reinforcement by one’s supervisor can be especially powerful.\(^{50}\) Consider the difference in outcomes for two supervisory training programs.\(^{51}\) In both, the participants liked the programs and successfully learned the new skills.\(^{52}\) But follow up showed that the participants from the first program applied their skills on the job, while those in the second did not. The biggest difference between the two programs was that the trainees in the first one were "directed and encouraged by their supervisors to use the new skills." In fact, two of the participants were removed from their jobs for not using the new skills.

Supervisors can reinforce the use of new skills on the job in less drastic ways. For instance, they can encourage trainees to use learned skills on the job simply by cueing them to do so.\(^{53}\) Also, a follow-up assessment of skills learned during training can make the trainees feel more accountable and increase transfer of learning.\(^{54}\) For example, the airlines have "check pilots" observe flight crews during flights and then give them feedback in order to encourage the crews to use the teamwork, communication, and leadership skills that they previously learned.\(^{55}\)

The behavior of a supervisor, or any high status person, is crucial for the transfer and maintenance of new emotional and social competencies. The models to which learners are exposed when they return to the work environment are even more powerful than those they encountered during training. Social and emotional behavior seems to be especially sensitive to modeling effects, and high status persons are influential models for this kind of behavior in the workplace.\(^{56}\) For instance, in one supervisory training program, the participants were taught to adopt a more supportive leadership style. After
they returned to their jobs, only those trainees whose own supervisors had such a style transferred what they had learned to their jobs.57

In addition to modeling and reinforcement, reflection can help learners transfer and maintain what they have learned. It can be particularly helpful for supervisors to set aside some time periodically to help learners reflect on what they have done to apply the skills, and to consider what have been the barriers and facilitating factors. Because self-awareness is a cornerstone of social and emotional competence, reflection can be especially valuable during the transfer and maintenance phase.58

Although supervisors are especially salient sources of reinforcement and encouragement, other individuals and groups in the work environment can be important as well. For instance, in a supervisory skills program, the supervisors’ employees were trained at the same time as the supervisors.59 This additional component of the program helped create an environment that encouraged the supervisors to practice and use the new behaviors.60

21. **Provide an Organizational Culture that Supports Learning.** Transfer and maintenance of specific skills seems to be affected by the extent to which the organization values learning and development in general.61 Challenging jobs, social support, reward and development systems, and an emphasis on innovation and competition influence these perceptions and expectations.

The climate of the work environment is particularly important for transfer of social and emotional learning to the job. One study found that participants in a human relations training program who returned to a supportive climate performed better on objective performance measures and were promoted more often than those in an
unsupportive climate. Furthermore, these effects were not observed until 18 months after training, highlighting the importance of a supportive environment for the development of social and emotional competencies over time.\textsuperscript{62}

**Phase Four: Evaluating Change**

22. **Conduct on-going evaluation research.** Evaluation is essential for promoting effective training. Research suggests that many training programs do not fulfill their promise.\textsuperscript{63} Only through evaluation can poor programs be improved and effective ones retained. By evaluation, we mean a process that focuses on continuous improvement rather than just a "pass-fail" test in which individuals associated with a program win or lose credibility. When an evaluation suggests that a program falls short in achieving its goals, it should not be used to punish an individual or group. Rather, it should be used as a guide for improving the training that is offered. Evaluation should be linked to learning and the continual pursuit of quality.

Evaluation has received increased attention of late because of the recognition that training departments in modern organizations need to be held more accountable.\textsuperscript{64} Instead of cost centers, training departments now are viewed as profit centers. Unfortunately, the field has been slow to meet this challenge. An October, 1997 survey of 35 highly regarded "benchmark" companies conducted by the American Society for Training and Development found that of the 27 companies that said they tried to promote emotional competence through training and development, more than two-thirds made no attempt to evaluate the effect of these efforts. Those that did attempt to evaluate their efforts relied primarily on measures such as reactions to training and employee opinion surveys.\textsuperscript{65}
Good evaluation of social and emotional learning efforts has been especially rare. One reason seems to be a widespread belief that programs designed to promote "soft skills" cannot be evaluated. Although this may have been true at one time, we now have the tools necessary to conduct rigorous evaluations of most training programs for social and emotional competence.\textsuperscript{66}

It can be difficult to include every aspect of the ideal evaluation design in many organizations, but there are examples of evaluation studies that come close. One is a study of eleven management training programs offered in a large pharmaceutical company.\textsuperscript{67} The evaluators utilized pre- and post-assessments of those who went through the programs, and these assessments included ratings of the participants’ performance on the job by bosses, peers, and subordinates. They also calculated the costs and benefits of the programs. They found that three of the eleven programs were worthless. On the other hand, five programs had a return-on-investment ranging from 16 to 492 percent, and one time management program had a return-on-investment of 1,989 percent. The four year study cost $500,000, which was only .02 percent of the $240 million that the company spent on training during that period. As a result of this evaluation, the company has eliminated the ineffective programs and retained the ones that more than pay for themselves.

Another example was an evaluation of a supervisory training program conducted in a forest products company.\textsuperscript{68} This study used a control group and pre- and post-measures. The program’s impact on the supervisors’ competence was measured through subordinate ratings, and the study also assessed the program’s impact on absenteeism, turnover, and productivity. The results showed first that the trained supervisors were
using all of the interpersonal skills covered in the program significantly more than did the controls during the six months following training. Second, the average daily production of the trained supervisors’ employees, relative to controls, increased over 20 percent. Third, turnover and absenteeism significantly declined among the employees of the trained supervisors, relative to the controls. The evaluation study thus provided strong evidence that the training program had the desired effects on both supervisor competencies and the bottom line.

These two examples show that while it is not easy to evaluate social and emotional competence training programs, it now is possible to do so with much greater rigor and precision than ever before. By making evaluation an integral part of the process, training programs will gradually become more effective.

What Are the Guidelines Worth?

Not all training programs in social and emotional competence follow these guidelines. How much money currently is lost by training that does not follow these guidelines? We estimate that the figure is between 5.6 and 16.8 billion dollars. We arrived at this estimate by starting with the commonly quoted figure of $50 billion spent on training each year. We then assumed that the average cost per worker for 1 week of training is $1500. Dividing this figure into the $50 billion total gave us an estimate of the total number of workers trained, which is 33 million workers.

We next assumed that only a quarter of these workers receives training related to emotional competence. (The number probably is higher, but we wanted to be conservative in this estimate.) The rest receive technical and cognitive training. Thus, we
estimated that adopting the guidelines should improve training for about 8 million workers.

Next we computed the economic impact of training. Several studies have suggested that on average, training improves worker performance by .4 to .6 of a standard deviation (S.D.). To be on the conservative side, we used the lowest figure, 4 S.D. Other research has suggested that for the average worker, an S.D. equals about 40 percent of salary. The average salary of American workers is about $35,000. Thus the average economic impact of training currently is .4 S.D. \times .40 \times $35,000 = $5,600 per worker.

We next factored in the difference in effect size between training programs that follow the guidelines and those that don’t. We used the data from a recent study of training programs in one large corporation, which found that programs adhering to most of the guidelines improved the impact of training by about .3 S.D. over those that didn’t follow the guidelines. To be conservative, we then assumed that only about half the workers who now are trained (the lower half of the distribution) would do better by that amount if the guidelines were adopted. We also considered the estimated impact for weaker effect size differences of .1 and .2 S.D. Thus, we estimated that if the guidelines were adopted uniformly, about 4 million workers would show an improvement of .1 to .3 S.D. in training impact.

We then computed the total economic impact of training currently and compared it to the impact if the guidelines were adopted:

Current economic impact of training = 4 million workers \times $5,600/worker = $22.4 billion.
Impact if guidelines were followed = 4 million X $7,000 = $28 billion (assuming
an improvement of .1 S.D.)

Difference = $28 billion - $22.4 billion = $5.6 billion.

The difference for an effect size improvement of .2 S.D. would be $11.2 billion, and the
difference for the full .3 S.D. improvement would be $16.8 billion. Thus, using these
figures, we estimate that American business currently is losing between $5.6 and $16.8
billion.

Conclusion

It is possible for people of all ages to become more socially and emotionally
competent. However, the principles for developing this type of competence differ greatly
from those that have guided much training and development practice in the past.

Developing emotional competence requires that we unlearn old habits of thought, feeling,
and action that are deeply ingrained, and grow new ones. Such a process takes
motivation, effort, time, support, and sustained practice, as the guidelines presented in
this article make clear. The guidelines also suggest that the preparation and transfer-and-
maintenance phases of the training process are especially important. Yet too often these
phases are neglected in practice.

Organizations increasingly are providing training and development that is
explicitly labeled as "emotional intelligence" or "emotional competence" training.
However, the guidelines presented here apply to any development effort in which
personal and social learning is a goal. This would include most management and
executive development efforts as well as training in supervisory skills, diversity,
teamwork, leadership, conflict management, stress management, sales, customer relations, etc.

Ideally, efforts to develop emotional competence would include all the elements we have identified here, but we realize that it often will not be practical to do so. Fortunately, the effect of adhering to the guidelines is multiplicative and synergistic: the more guidelines that trainers can follow, the greater and more lasting will be their impact.

If the current interest in promoting emotional intelligence at work is to be a serious, sustained effort, rather than just another management fad, it is important that practitioners try to follow guidelines based on the best available research. Only when the training is based on sound, empirically based methods will its promise be realized.

Notes

"Emotional intelligence" as we use the term here refers to about two dozen social and emotional abilities that previous research has shown to be linked to successful performance in the workplace. These abilities can be grouped into five core areas: self-awareness, self-regulation, self-motivation, social awareness, and social skills. For research on the link between these abilities and performance, see L. M. Spencer, Jr., D. C. McClelland, and S. Kehner, "Competency Assessment Methods History and State of the Art," paper presented at a meeting of The Consortium for Social and Emotional Competence in the Workplace (1997).


5 The distinction between cognitive and emotional learning is similar to the one that Hall makes in discussing executive learning. He suggests that executive learning can be either short-term or long-term, with either a task focus or a personal focus. Using this typology, social and emotional learning involves a personal focus requiring a long-term perspective. See D. T. Hall and P. H. Mirvis, "The New Protean Career: Psychological Success and the Path with a Heart," in D. T. Hall, ed., The Career Is Dead: Long Live the Career (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1996).


7 These guidelines emerged from a review of research conducted under the auspices of the Consortium for Research on Emotional Intelligence in Organizations, originally funded by the Fetzer Institute of Kalamazoo, Michigan. Consortium members were: Richard Boyatzis, Ph.D., Professor of Management and Associate Dean, Weatherhead School of Management, Case Western Reserve University; Robert Caplan, Ph.D., Professor and Director of the Organizational Psychology Program, George Washington University; Cary Cherniss, Ph.D., Professor of Applied Psychology, Rutgers University; Daniel Goleman, Ph.D., author and CEO of Emotional Intelligence Services; Marilyn Gowing, Ph.D., Director of Resources and Development, U. S. Office of Personnel Management, Washington, DC; Kathy Kram, Ph.D., Associate Professor of Management, Boston University; Richard Price, Ph.D., Professor of Psychology, University of Michigan; Mary Ann Re, Ph.D., Manager of AT&T Corporate Employee Research, AT&T; and Lyle Spencer, Jr., Ph.D., Hay Group Research Fellow, Author (Competence at Work), and Consultant.


This point is supported by research on empathy. For example, M. Davis and L. Kraus, "Personality and accurate empathy," in W. Ickes, ed., Empathic Accuracy (New York: Guilford Press, 1997) have found that there is no correlation between people’s estimates of their empathy and their scores on objective tests of empathy.
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